

### **Experimental Evaluation of Physical Hazard of A2L Refrigerant Assuming Actual Handling Situations**

The 24<sup>th</sup> IIR International Congress of Refrigeration (ICR2015) Workshop: Research Project on Risk Assessment of Mildly Flammable Refrigerants

20, Aug. 2015 (Thu), Pacifico Yokohama, JAPAN

### Tomohiko IMAMURA and Osami SUGAWA

Dept. of Mechanical Engineering, Tokyo University of Science, Suwa, Japan

## **1. INTRODUCTION**

### 1. Introduction Background & Objective (1/3)

3/29





Ref.: Saburi, T. et al., Risk Assessment of Mildly Flammable Refrigerants, 2014 Progress Report, p.69, 2015, http://www.jsrae.or.jp/committee/binensei/2014PR\_e.pdf

### 1. Introduction Background & Objective (2/3)

4/29

In the actual handling situation of air conditioning systems…

> Ignition source is very ≻Leaked refrigerant various, and ignition generally has a certain behavior greatly affected by degree of concentration the turbulence and flow of distribution. the accumulated refrigerant.

We examined physical hazard by burning of A2L refrigerant under several conceivable accident situations based on these fundamental combustion behaviors.

### 1. Introduction Background & Objective (3/3)



In the case that refrigerant leaks into an equipment used to service and maintenance <u>like a collection device</u>

5/29

### 2. PHYSICAL HAZARD EVALUATIONS OF A2L REFRIGERANTS

2-1: Use with Fossil Fuel Heating System

### 2-1: Use with Fossil-fuel Heating System Objective & Experiments

7/29

Accident scenario: A wall-mount type room air conditioning system containing an A2L refrigerant is simultaneously used with a fossil-fuel heating system inside a general living space.



### 2-1: Use with Fossil-fuel Heating System Experimental Results



8/29

Refrigerant concentration (<2 vol%) was much lower than LFL. Therefore no ignition and flame propagation to A2L refrigerants were observed.

➢ Hydrogen fluoride which is generated due to the combustion or thermal decomposition was confirmed. The concentration of generated HF is more than 3 ppm which is the permissible value, even R410A.

### 2. PHYSICAL HAZARD EVALUATIONS OF A2L REFRIGERANTS

2-2: Ignition and Flame Propagation Possibility by a Lighter

10/29

Accident Scenario: A service operative uses a portable lighter to smoke in a space in which an A2L refrigerant has leaked and accumulated.



Accident Scenario: A service operative uses a portable lighter to smoke in a space in which an A2L refrigerant has leaked and accumulated.

Type 2: Kerosene lighter



•Fuel gas is discharged while a cap of lighter is opened.

[Characteristic]

11/29

•Up-current of vaporized fuel is appeared while a cap of lighter is opened.

•The energy of spark generated by rubbing a flint is much larger than that of piezo element.

12/29

Accident Scenario: A service operative uses a portable lighter to smoke in a space in which an A2L refrigerant has leaked and accumulated.

Type 1: piezo gas lighter

IFI.



## 2-2: Ignition and Flame Propagation Possibility by a Lighter **Experimental Results**



13/29

No ignition and flame propagation to accumulated A2L refrigerant was confirmed.

Accident Scenario: A service operative uses a portable lighter to smoke in a space in which an A2L refrigerant has leaked and accumulated.

14/29

Type 2: kerosene lighter



## 2-2: Ignition and Flame Propagation Possibility by a Lighter **Experimental Results**

#### [Kerosene cigarette lighter]

Ignition of a kerosene cigarette lighter was initiated by ac spark instead of the spark generated by rubbing a flint against a flint wheel directly.







15/29

Energization time : 50 msEnergization time : 100 msEnergization time : 500 msRefrigerant : R32, Refrigerant concentration at the lighter height : 16 vol%Actual spark energy generated by rubbing : 1.2 J

(Assuming that the composition of the flint alloy is 70wt% of cerium and 30wt% of Iron, and the mass of worn-down flint particle per one turn of flint wheel was  $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$  g)

## 2-2: Ignition and Flame Propagation Possibility by a Lighter **Experimental Results**



16/29

### 2. PHYSICAL HAZARD EVALUATIONS OF A2L REFRIGERANTS

2-3: Physical hazard of rapid leakage from a pinhole

### 2-3: Physical Hazard of Rapid Leakage from a Pinhole Objective & Experiment

18/29

Accident Scenario: An A2L refrigerant leaks from a fracture or pinhole in the pipes or hoses such as that used to connect a car's air conditioning system to a collection device.



Refrigerants : R32, R1234yf, R1234ze(E)Pinhole :d=0.2, 1.0, 3.0, 4.0 mmd = 0.2, 1.0, 3.0, 4.0 mmd = 0.2, 1.0, 3.0, 4.0 mmSlit: 1.0 x 4.0 mmMass flow rate : 5.0-847 g/minIgnition source : single spark, continuous spark, open flame18

### 2-3: Physical Hazard of Rapid Leakage from a Pinhole Experimental Results



19/29

The flammable zone was formed only in partial areas. No ignition and flame propagation to the entire A2L refrigerant was observed.

### 2. PHYSICAL HAZARD EVALUATIONS OF A2L REFRIGERANTS

2-4: Physical Hazard of Leakage into the Collection Device

### 2-4: Physical Hazard of Leakage into the Collection Device Objective & Experiment

21/29

Accident Scenario: An A2L refrigerant leaked to inside of an equipment used for service and maintenance such as a collection device.



http://www.jraia.or.jp/htdocs\_test2/product/flon/index.html

We examined…

≻leakage and ignition behaviors of A2L refrigerant in a model collection device.

≻especially the effect of slit fixed in the collection device to prevent the accumulation and ignition of leaked refrigerant.

#### 2-4: Physical Hazard of Leakage into the Collection Device Experiment



22/29

Location of measurement of concentration : 0, 10, 25, 50, 75 cm above the bottom of model collection device Ignition source : DC spark discharge (energy: 16J, 6Hz) Slit width : 0 (close), 1, 5, 10, 20 mm Varieties of refrigerant : R1234yf

### 2-4: Physical Hazard of Leakage into the Collection Device Experimental results



23/29

The ignition possibility could be reduced by fixing slit having suitable width.

### **3. CONCLUSIONS**

### 3. Conclusions Conclusions

25/29

We conducted the physical hazard evaluation on A2L refrigerant assuming conceivable accident scenarios experimentally.

#### >Use with Fossil Fuel Heating System

≻Refrigerant concentration (<2 vol%) was much lower than LFL. Therefore no ignition and flame propagation to A2L refrigerants were observed.

≻ Hydrogen fluoride which is generated due to the combustion or thermal decomposition was confirmed. The concentration of generated HF is more than 3 ppm which is the permissible value, even R410A.

#### > Ignition and Flame Propagation Possibility by a Lighter

>When a piezo gas lighter was used in the accumulated R32 and R1234yf, no ignition and flame propagation was observed.

>But when a kerosene cigarette lighter was used under the slow leak condition, ignition and flame propagation was observed.

### > Physical hazard of rapid leakage from a pinhole

≻The flammable zone was formed only in partial areas.

≻No ignition and flame propagation to the entire A2L refrigerant was observed.

### > Physical Hazard of Leakage into the Collection Device

≻The ignition possibility could be reduced by fixing slit having suitable width.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This study has been conducted a part of research project on the "Technology Development of High-efficiency Non-fluorinated Air Conditioning Systems" conducted by New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO).

The authors would like to sincerely thank Mr. Takanori Morimoto and Dr. Kyoko Kamiya of Japan Specialist in Law Engineering Corporation, and Mr. Shunta Nakamura, Mr. Shunsuke Mashimo, Mr. Takuro Sano and Mr. Kazutaka Yuzawa of undergraduate student of Tokyo University of Science, Suwa, for their kind supports with the experiments.

### THANK YOU VERY MUCH!

